



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/749,172	12/30/2003	Ming-Hsien Ko	CU-3531 RJS	7874	
26530	7590 12/13/2004		EXAMINER		
LADAS & PARRY LLP			GELLNER, JEFFREY L		
224 SOUTH I SUITE 1200	MICHIGAN AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, I	L 60604		3643		
			DATE MAIL ED. 12/12/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					<u> </u>			
Office Action Summary		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	1) 1			
		10/749,17	2	KO, MING-HSIEN	100			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Jeffrey L. C		3643				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in the plant of the plant is a second of the	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no ever ly within the statu will apply and will e, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be time tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 D	December 20	<u>03</u> .					
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. ☐ 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	cepted or b)[drawing(s) be ction is require	e held in abeyance. See ad if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have beer ts have beer prity docume au (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	tage			
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 30 December 2003.)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgement is made of Applicant's IDS received 30 December 2003.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "warp-free portion and including a plurality of weft yarns" of claims 1, 7 and 13 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to provide an adequate written description of the invention and as failing to adequately teach how to make an/or use the invention, i.e., failing to provide an enabling disclosure. Throughout the specification (for example at page 4 line 25) the invention the description discloses a "warp-free portion and includes a plurality of weft yarns." There is no evidence to show that a body, or sheet, can have a portion that has wefts but no warps. By definition a weft is "threads you manipulate through the warp to make a fabric" (Anne Liese's Fibers and Stuff - Glossary - page 2). Examiner considers a warp-free portion with wefts to be either a portion of individual threads or a single thread. In either case, wefts not attached to warps would imply the wefts are not part of the fabric.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 the claimed invention lacks patentable utility.

The invention lacks patentable utility because it is considered to be inoperative as disclosed. There is no evidence to show that a body, or sheet, can have a portion that has wefts

but no warps. By definition a weft is "threads you manipulate through the warp to make a fabric" (Anne Liese's Fibers and Stuff - Glossary - page 2). Examiner considers a warp-free portion with wefts to be either a portion of individual threads or a single thread. In either case, wefts not attached to warps would imply the wefts are not part of the fabric. The invention could to work as shown in the drawings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

In claims 1, 7, and 13 the claims are drawn to a "warp-free portion and includes a plurality of weft yarns." There is no evidence to show that a body, or sheet, can have a portion that has wefts but no warps. By definition a weft is "threads you manipulate through the warp to make a fabric" (Anne Liese's Fibers and Stuff - Glossary - page 2). Examiner considers a warp-free portion with wefts to be either a portion of individual threads or a single thread. In either case, wefts not attached to warps would imply the wefts are not part of the fabric. The "first net

body" and the "second net body" could not be connected and possess portions of wefts without warps.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. §103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Ko (US 2001/0034970 A1).

As to claims 1-13, Ko discloses a sunshade (Fig. 4) with first and second net portions, warp and weft and warp only portions, and string sections. Not disclosed is are warp free weft portions and a curtain body. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the sunshade of Ko by switching warp and weft depending upon the weaving system used and to use two sunshades adjacent one another (which would produce a curtain body) so as to cover more area.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ko ('954 B2) and de Vries disclose in the prior art various sunshades with wefts and warps. Helnecke disclose in the prior art a sunshade with a connecting section.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,172

Art Unit: 3643

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Jeffrey L. Gellner whose phone number is 703.305.0053. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:30 am to 4:00 pm. The Examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Peter Poon, can be reached at 703.308.2574. The official fax telephone number for the Technology Center where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703.872.9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.1113.

Jeffrey L. Gellner Primary Examiner

1/1/